1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. BELTON (SBN 266836) SUSAN SLAGER (SBN 162942) Supervising Deputy Attorneys General LAURA FAER (SBN 233846) KATHERINE LEHE (SBN 273472) VILMA PALMA-SOLANA (SBN 267992) JAMES E. STANLEY (SBN 316288) Deputy Attorneys General 1300 I Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 210-6475 Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for the People of the State of Californi SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	a Œ STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF S	SACRAMENTO
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. XAVIER BECERRA, ATTORNEY GENERAL, Petitioner, v. CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY; FRESNO COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY; REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY; AND REPUBLICAN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, Respondents.	Case No. PETITION TO ENFORCE INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES [Gov. Code, §§ 11180 et seq.] EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PER GOV. CODE § 6103
28		1
- 1		I

Petitioners, the People of the State of California, ex rel. Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action to enforce compliance with a set of Investigative Interrogatories (the Interrogatories) issued by the Attorney General's Office to the California Republican Party, the Fresno County Republican Party, the Republican Party of Orange County, and the Republican Party of Los Angeles County (collectively, Respondents). The Interrogatories were issued in connection with the Attorney General's ongoing investigation into ballot collection and election law compliance.
- 2. Only county election officials have the authority to designate the location, hours of operation, and number of drop boxes in their respective counties, as well as the responsibility for ensuring compliance with all applicable statutes and regulations that guarantee the security and chain of custody of vote-by-mail ballots. California Elections Code section 3025(a)(1) specifically defines a "vote-by-mail ballot drop box" as "a secure receptacle established by a county or city and county elections official whereby a voted vote-by-mail ballot may be returned to the elections official from whom it was obtained." Additionally, Elections Code section 3025(a)(2) describes a "vote-by-mail ballot drop-off location" as "a location consisting of a secured vote by mail ballot drop box at which a voted vote by mail ballot may be returned to the elections official from whom it was obtained." California Elections Code section 3025(b) mandates that the Secretary of State establish guidelines for vote-by-mail ball drop boxes, and the regulations promulgated by the Secretary of State's office pursuant to this section provide extensive requirements for the design, use, and security of vote-by-mail ballot drop boxes.²
- 3. Elections Code sections 3011(a)(9)-(11) and 3017 govern ballot collection and return by a person other than the voter. Elections Code section 3017 provides that a "vote by mail voter who is unable to return the ballot may designate another person to return the ballot." Both the

28

25

26

Elec. Code, § 3025(a)(1) (emphasis added).
 See generally Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2,§§ 20130–20138.
 Elec. Code, § 3017 (emphasis added).

- 4. The California Republican Party, working directly with Republican county committees throughout the State and various churches and businesses, set up and advertised its own non-official and unauthorized vote-by-mail "ballot drop boxes" at numerous locations throughout the State, sometimes even advertising them as "official" ballot drop boxes.
- 5. These unauthorized and non-official vote-by-mail drop boxes caused confusion among voters, prompted complaints from county elections officials alarmed about their use, and raised serious concerns about whether the appropriate chain of custody was being observed for ballots deposited in them in order to protect the security and integrity of the voting process and ensure that votes are timely delivered to county election officials.
- 6. Moreover, the manner in which Respondents used, promoted, and advertised their unauthorized, non-official ballot drop boxes did not comply with State law governing ballot collection activities, which requires that voters designate and authorize a specific person to return their ballot and that said person provide their name, signature, and relationship to the voter on the ballot envelope.⁷

⁴ See, e.g., Report of Assembly Comm. on Elections and Redistricting (Apr. 27, 2016) (stating that bill "[r]epeals provisions of law that prohibit a VBM voter's ballot from being returned by a paid or volunteer worker of . . . any other group or organization at whose behest the individual designated to return the ballot is performing a service") (emphasis added).

⁵ *Id.* See also *id.* (observing that similar laws in other states "allow[] for the friend who happens to be driving by the ballot drop-off location, or the co-worker who is heading to the polls on election day, to assist in making sure each and every vote counts.").

⁶ Elec. Code, § 3011(a)(9)-(11).

⁷ Id.

- 7. To stop the unlawful and misleading use of these unofficial ballot drop boxes, the California Secretary of State, Alex Padilla, and the Attorney General issued a cease and desist letter (Cease and Desist Letter) to Respondents on October 12, 2020, asking that they stop the coordination, use, and/or promotion of unauthorized and non-official drop boxes. The letter further requested that the California Republican Party surrender any ballots received through the non-official drop boxes to the appropriate county elections official; provide the names, addresses, and birth date information of those voters who dropped their ballots so that voters could be contacted to provide them with information about their options for tracking whether their vote was properly delivered; and provide the number and location of each unofficial and unauthorized drop box that was deployed so that the Attorney General and Secretary of State could ensure that any ballot collection activity at these sites has either ceased or is in compliance with State law.
- 8. In response, Respondents removed a number of the unauthorized ballot drop boxes and promised to take steps to ensure that any future ballot collection activity by Respondents would involve a person on-site to collect ballots and provide voters with the appropriate notice and opportunity to designate that individual to return their ballot, and that the individual who returned said ballots would write their name and signature on the ballot envelope. However, Respondents refused to provide the names, addresses, and birth date information of those voters who previously dropped their ballots so that those voters could be contacted to provide them with information about their options for tracking whether their vote was properly delivered. Respondents also refused to provide the number and location of each unofficial and unauthorized drop box that was deployed so that the Secretary of State and Attorney General could ensure that any ballot collection activity that may still be occurring at those sites comply with State law.
- 9. The Attorney General is currently investigating ballot collection and election law compliance and served Interrogatories requesting from Respondents the same information sought in the Cease and Desist Letter, namely, that Respondents provide (a) the name, address, and birth date of all individuals that deposited a vote by mail ballot in any of Respondents' non-official drop boxes; (b) the number of non-official ballot drop boxes deployed by Respondents; and (c)

the locations of all non-official drop boxes deployed by Respondents, including where said drop boxes have been removed and where they are still deployed.

- 10. The Attorney General requested these specific items as part of his investigation to ensure that Respondents have brought their continuing ballot collection efforts into compliance with State elections laws and to ensure that all ballots are counted, include those of any voter who deposited a ballot into the previously unlawfully-operated ballot drop boxes deployed by Respondents.
- 11. Because the election date is quickly approaching, it is critical that the State ensure that any ballot that was deposited into a drop box is able to be confirmed as having reached a county elections official for counting, and because Respondents were previously on notice of similar requests from the Cease and Desist Letter, the Attorney General's Interrogatories requested that responsive information be provided by close of business on Monday, October 19, 2020.
- 12. In response, Respondents have provided none of the requested information and have instead filed a litany of objections, none of which excuse their non-compliance.
- 13. Accordingly, the People of the State of California, acting through Attorney General Xavier Becerra, petition this Court pursuant to section 11188 of the Government Code to enforce compliance with the Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories.

THE PARTIES

14. Xavier Becerra is the Attorney General of the State of California. He brings this action in his official capacity on behalf of the People of the State of California. The Attorney General is the chief law officer of the state and has the duty to see that the State's laws are uniformly and adequately enforced for the protection of public rights and interests. In order to carry out these duties effectively, California law gives the Attorney General broad investigative powers. Specifically, Government Code sections 11180 et seq. grant the Attorney General, as head of the Department of Justice, the authority to issue subpoenas and promulgate interrogatories. These investigative powers are not dependent on the initiation of a civil lawsuit

27

28

or an administrative proceeding. If a party refuses to comply with a subpoena, the Attorney General may petition the Superior Court for enforcement.8

- Respondent California Republican Party is a political party in California with its principal place of business located at 1001 K Street, 4th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- Respondent Fresno County Republican Party is a political party with its principal place of business located at 770 E Shaw Ave., Suite 121, Fresno, CA 93710.
- 17. Respondent Republican Party of Orange County is a political party with its principal place of business located at 1422 Edinger Ave, Suite 110, Tustin, CA 92780.
- 18. Respondent Los Angeles County Republican Party is a political party with its principal place of business located at 16133 Ventura Blvd., #560, Encino, CA 91436.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in the Superior Court of the State of California in the City and County of Sacramento under Government Code section 11186. The Attorney General primarily conducts his investigation into ballot collection and election law compliance, including his investigation of the California Republican Party, in the City and County of Sacramento, with some work performed in other parts of the State. The Investigative Interrogatories issued to Respondents directed them to serve responses in the City and County of Sacramento.

BACKGROUND

- 14. The California Legislature has recognized that vote-by-mail voting has become the means by which most Californians exercise their right to vote. In light of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, the availability and reliability of secure methods for vote-by-mail and ballot drop-off is of paramount importance.
- 15. As of October 5, 2020, pursuant to Elections Code section 3000.5(a), county election officials throughout the State were required to have begun mailing ballots for the upcoming November 3, 2020 election to all California voters registered at that time.

 ⁸ Gov. Code, § 11187.
 ⁹ See Historical and Statutory Notes, West's Ann. Cal. Elec. Code § 3000.5.

- 16. Also on October 5, 2020, many county election authorities throughout the State including, but not limited to, Fresno County, Orange County, Los Angeles County, and Stanislaus County—began offering vote-by-mail ballot drop boxes at authorized locations throughout their respective counties for voters to securely drop off their completed ballots. 10
- In or around the week of October 5, 2020, in a practice well-documented by multiple 17. news reports, Respondents began using unauthorized, non-official vote-by-mail ballot drop boxes at local political party offices, candidate headquarters, churches, gun retailers, and other locations throughout the State.
- 18. For example, in or around the week of October 5, 2020, the Chairman of Respondent Fresno County Republican Party, Fred Vanderhoof, delivered a dozen unofficial drop boxes to twelve different locations in Fresno County. One of the twelve locations was a smog emissions check business in Clovis, California. 11 At this location, an unsecured cardboard box was placed behind the front desk with an attached sign reading "Authorized Secure Ballot Drop." The owner of the shop estimated that approximately 25 voters dropped off their ballots at this location.
- 19. Following Mr. Vanderhoof's delivery of the drop boxes around Fresno County, the website of Respondent Fresno County Republican Party advertised the twelve locations—which included their party headquarters at 770 E. Shaw Ave., Fresno, CA 93710—prefaced with the following statement:

CONSERVATIVE VOTER ALERT! President Trump is very concerned about the lack of security with mail in ballots. Don't take a chance that your vote will not be counted. Once your ballot arrives in the mail, mark your ballot completely and then

²³

²⁴

²⁶

²⁷

walk it in, as soon as possible, to one of the secure locations listed below. Make sure your vote counts!!¹³

- 20. On October 9, 2020, a Regional Field Director for Respondent California Republican Party posted a photo to Twitter showing him holding a ballot in front of a non-official, unauthorized drop box labeled "OFFICIAL BALLOT DROP OFF BOX" and a caption stating "DM [direct message] me for convenient locations to drop your ballot off at!" 14
- 21. On October 11, 2020, the Secretary of State published a memorandum in response to "unauthorized, non-official ballot drop boxes being used or having been proposed to be used at local political party offices, candidate headquarters, and churches throughout the state." The memorandum explained that State law forbids the use of ballot drop boxes that are unauthorized by the appropriate county election officials and that do not comply with State law regarding ballot collection activities.
- 22. To stop the unlawful and misleading use of these unofficial ballot drop boxes, the Secretary of State and the Attorney General issued a cease and desist letter to Respondents on October 12, 2020 (Cease and Desist Letter), identifying several reported examples of the use and advertisement of unauthorized and non-official drop boxes and demanding that Respondents stop the coordination, use, and/or promotion of said drop boxes. The letter further requested that the California Republican Party surrender any ballots received through the non-official drop boxes to the appropriate county elections official; provide the names, addresses, and birth date information of those voters who dropped their ballots so that voters could be contacted to provide them with information about their options for tracking whether their vote was properly delivered; and provide the total number and location of each unofficial and unauthorized drop box that was deployed.

¹³ The Fresno County Republican Party subsequently removed the list from its website, but a snapshot of the page as of October 12, 2020 remains archived online. See https://web.archive.org/web/20201012034811/https://www.fresnogop.org/ballot-collection-box-locations/.

Officials Say They're Illegal (Oct. 12, 2020) Washington Post, available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/10/12/california-illegal-ballot-boxes/.

¹⁵ See Memorandum to All County Clerks/Registrars of Voters from Jana M. Lean, Chief, Elections Division, Re "General Election: Unauthorized Ballot Drop Boxes", issued October 11, 2020, available at https://elections.cdn.sos.ca.gov/ccrov/pdf/2020/october/20240il.pdf.

- 23. In response to the Cease and Desist Letter, Respondents stated that they would remove the "official" language from any ballot drop box and that its initial inclusion was in error. Respondents also represented that they had removed a number of the ballot boxes and turned over all ballots to the appropriate authorities. Counsel for Respondents also promised to introduce a protocol to ensure that future ballot collection activity at "any and all [ballot] receptacles placed in local party offices" would involve a person on-site monitoring the boxes to assist in ballot collection and provide voters with the appropriate notice and opportunity to designate a specific individual to return their ballot, and that the individual who returned said ballots would write their name and signature on the ballot envelope. However, Respondents refused to provide the names, addresses, and birth date information of those voters who dropped their ballots before this new protocol so that those voters could be contacted and provided information about their options for tracking whether their vote was properly delivered.
- 24. Despite the new protocol shared by counsel for Respondents, Respondents did not demonstrate how they will ensure that third-party businesses and churches supplied with their ballot drop boxes will comply with their proposed labels, receipts, and instructions, thus making it vitally important that the Secretary of State and Attorney General are aware of the locations where third parties may be using drop boxes supplied by Respondents. Moreover, Respondents refused to provide the number and location of each unofficial and unauthorized drop box that was deployed so that the Secretary of State and Attorney General are able to ensure that any ballot collection activity that may still be occurring at those sites comports with State law.
- 25. In order to ensure that State election laws are being followed, the Attorney General is investigating ballot collection and election law compliance, including persons or entities involved directly or indirectly in the collection of vote by mail ballots and the operation of ballot drop boxes.
- 26. On October 16, 2020, the Attorney General served Investigative Interrogatories requesting the same information originally sought in the Cease and Desist Letter, namely, that Respondents provide (a) the name, address, and birth date of all individuals that deposited a vote by mail ballot in any of Respondents' non-official drop boxes; (b) the number of non-official drop

- boxes deployed by Respondents; and (c) the locations of all non-official drop boxes deployed by Respondents, including where said drop boxes have been removed and where they are still deployed. True and correct copies of the Interrogatories and proof of service are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Also on October 16, 2020, the Attorney General served Investigatory Subpoenas to Respondents seeking the production of documents related to these interrogatories and Respondents' ballot collection activities.
- 27. The Attorney General requested these specific items as part of his investigation to ensure that he has the necessary information to confirm that Respondents—and any third parties with whom they may be coordinating—have brought their continuing ballot collection efforts into compliance with State elections laws, and to ensure that any voter who wishes to cast a ballot in the November 2020 general election has their ballot counted.
- 28. In response, Respondents have lodged a series of objections to the Interrogatories, including challenges to, among other things, purported vagueness and overbreadth, the amount of time for Respondents to respond, concerns about maintaining the "secrecy of the ballot" cast by voters, the Attorney General's authority to issue the Interrogatories, and the relationship between the Attorney General's investigation and potential violations of law. True and correct copies of Respondents' objections are attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. None of these objections have merit and they serve only as an attempt to hinder and delay the Attorney General's work of ensuring that the currently ongoing election process is conducted fairly and without violation of State law.
- 29. For example, Respondents' objections that these Interrogatories "seek[] to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot" to Respondents is without foundation. Respondents need not be concerned about the secrecy and confidentiality of any information they provide, as the Attorney General's Office will treat all information produced pursuant to these Interrogatories as confidential under Section 11183, which requires that, with certain limited exceptions, "an officer shall not divulge any information or evidence acquired by the officer from the interrogatory answers or subpoenaed private books, documents, papers, or other items . . . in respect to the confidential or private transactions, property or business of any

Similarly, Respondents' objections that these Interrogatories were not "regularly promulgated" under the relevant Government Code sections, that the Attorney General is without authority to investigate non-criminal activities, or that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law at issue are without merit. Under the California Constitution, the Attorney General is the chief law officer of the state and has the duty to see that the State's laws are uniformly and adequately enforced for the protection of public rights and interests. ¹⁶ The Attorney General issued the Cease and Desist Letter in conjunction with the Secretary of State, who is the chief elections officer of the State, and State law anticipates that the Attorney General and Secretary of State will work together to see that the State's elections laws are enforced. 17 Moreover, the Attorney General is not limited to investigating criminal activities, but it instead expressly authorized by Government Code section 11180 to make investigations and to prosecute actions concerning "[a]ll matters relating to the business activities and subjects under the jurisdiction of the department," "[v]iolations of any law," and "[s]uch other matters as may be provided by law." These provisions give the Attorney General ample authority to issue investigative subpoenas and interrogatories to investigate possible unlawful activities or simply to assure him that the law is not being violated. 18 Here, the Attorney General has been repeatedly presented with conduct and statements that call into question Respondents' compliance with State election laws and the Attorney General therefore not only has a right but a duty to conduct the investigation that led to the issuance of these Interrogatories.

31. Moreover, Respondents' objections about the "short response time" requested by the Attorney General is belied by Respondents themselves acknowledging that now is a "critical time" with "the General election just 14 days away." Under the circumstances, it is necessary that the

¹⁸ See *People v. West Čoast Shows, Inc.* (1970) 10 Cal.App.3d 462, 464-65.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

¹⁶ Cal. Const., art. V, § 13.

¹⁷ See Gov. Code, § 12172.5(b) ("If, at any time, the Secretary of State concludes that state election laws are not being enforced, the Secretary of State shall call the violation to the attention of the district attorney of the county or to the Attorney General.").

1	Attorney General have the information sought by the Interrogatories as quickly as possible to
2	determine whether the Attorney General, Secretary of State, or county elections officials need to
3	contact any attempted voter to ensure that ballots deposited in the drop boxes Respondents had
4	been unlawfully operating have their votes counted. It is also necessary to determine, without
5	additional delay, whether Respondents and others are acting in compliance with State law so the
6	Attorney General, Secretary of State, or county elections officials can take appropriate action if
7	they are not.
8	32. Given that voting in the State of California is already occurring and that the official
9	election date is now only two weeks away, a court order enforcing the Attorney General's
10	investigative procedures is warranted.
11	THIS COURT'S AUTHORITY TO ORDER COMPLIANCE
12	33. Government Code section 11187 provides that if a witness has failed to produce
13	materials called for by an investigative subpoena, the head of the department issuing the subpoena
14	may petition the Superior Court for an order compelling compliance. That section further
15	provides that a proceeding, such as this one, brought by the Attorney General or other appropriate
16	official, shall be the sole vehicle for determining the validity of any objections to the subpoena.
17	
18	\\\
19	\\\\
20	
21	\\\\
22	
23	
24	
25	\\\\
26	
27	\\\\
28	\\\\
	12

PETITION TO ENFORCE INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Pursuant to Government Code sections 11186-11188, the Attorney General prays that this Court:

- 1. Issue an order directing Respondent to appear before this Court and to show cause why each has refused to comply with the Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories;
- 2. Upon Respondents' failure to show cause; enter an order directing Respondents to provide full responses to the Interrogatories sufficiently in advance of the November 2, 2020 election so as to allow the Attorney General to ensure that all attempted voters are able to obtain confirmation that their ballots were deposited with county election officials, and if not, to ensure that they have an opportunity to cast a ballot; and
 - 3. Grant all other relief to which the People are legally entitled.

Dated: October 20, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
MICHAEL L. NEWMAN
Senior Assistant Attorneys General
SARAH E. BELTON
SUSAN SLAGER
Supervising Deputy Attorneys General
LAURA FAER
KATHERINE LEHE
VILMA PALMA-SOLANA
Deputy Attorneys General

JAMES E. STANLEY
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for the People of the State of California

Exhibit A

James Stanley

From: James Stanley

Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 2:46 PM

To: 'Thomas Hiltachk'; Laura Faer; Ashlee N. Titus

Cc: Susan Slager; Anthony OBrien; Anthony Hakl; Sarah Belton; Vilma Palma-Solana;

Katherine Lehe; Thomas Patterson; Michael L. Newman

Subject: RE: Investigative Subpoena and Interrogatories

Attachments: AGO-CAGOP Subpoena 2020.10.16.pdf; AGO-CAGOP Interrogatories 2020.10.16.pdf

Mr. Hiltachk:

Thank you for agreeing to accept service. Please find attached an investigative subpoena and investigative interrogatories for the California Republican Party, served via this email. Please confirm receipt. Please also let us know if you are authorized to accept service on behalf of the Fresno and/or Orange County Republican Committees.

We are available to discuss these documents at any time; please feel free to contact us via email or phone.

Best regards, Jim Stanley

James E. Stanley

Deputy Attorney General Civil Rights Enforcement Section California Department of Justice 1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 210-6475 james.stanley@doj.ca.gov

From: Thomas Hiltachk <tomh@bmhlaw.com> Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 10:20 AM

To: Laura Faer <Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov>; Ashlee N. Titus <atitus@bmhlaw.com>

Cc: Susan Slager <Susan.Slager@doj.ca.gov>; James Stanley <James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony OBrien <Anthony.OBrien@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony Hakl <Anthony.Hakl@doj.ca.gov>; Sarah Belton <Sarah.Belton@doj.ca.gov>; Vilma Palma-Solana <Vilma.Solana@doj.ca.gov>; Katherine Lehe <Katherine.Lehe@doj.ca.gov>; Thomas Patterson <Thomas.Patterson@doj.ca.gov>; Michael L. Newman <Michael.Newman@doj.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Follow-up

Yes, I will accept service of a subpoena for CRP. I was only authorized to respond to the SOS letter by Fresno and Orange County, and as we told you we were NOT authorized to respond to the letter by LA County (which did not have a program). I do not have authority to accept service of a subpoena for the county committees, but I can inquire, if you would like.

Thomas W.
Hiltachk
Partner
BELL, MCANDREWS

455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95814 P (916) 442-7757 F (916) 442-7759 E tomh@bmhlaw.com

& HILTACHK, LLP | Follow us on Twitter

Circular 230 Disclosure: In compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS pursuant to IRS Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be attorney-client privileged. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

From: Laura Faer < <u>Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov</u>>
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 9:56 AM

To: Thomas Hiltachk <tomh@bmhlaw.com>; Ashlee N. Titus <atitus@bmhlaw.com>

Cc: Susan Slager <<u>Susan.Slager@doj.ca.gov</u>>; James Stanley <<u>James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Anthony OBrien <<u>Anthony.OBrien@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Anthony Hakl <<u>Anthony.Hakl@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Sarah Belton <<u>Sarah.Belton@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Vilma Palma-Solana <<u>Vilma.Solana@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Katherine Lehe <<u>Katherine.Lehe@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Thomas Patterson <<u>Thomas.Patterson@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Michael L. Newman <<u>Michael.Newman@doj.ca.gov</u>>

Subject: Follow-up

Mr. Hiltachk: I received your email stating that you and your partner, Ms. Titus, will not be able to meet with us by phone this morning, so I am following up by email.

As you know, on October 12, 2020, the Attorney General and Secretary of State's Offices sent the California Republican Party, the Fresno County Republican Party, the Los Angeles County Republican Party, and the Republican Party of Orange County a cease and desist letter, which included a demand to contact the Secretary of State's office to arrange to provide county elections officials with the contact information for voters who dropped off their ballots in unauthorized drop boxes and provide the number and location of each unofficial drop box deployed by close of business on October 15, 2020. As of close of business yesterday, you and your clients had failed to provide the aforementioned information. At this time, to, among other things, ensure the integrity of the voting process in California, that all votes placed in unauthorized boxes have been properly delivered to county election officials and that voters who dropped off ballots are aware of their rights under California law and how to track receipt of their ballots, and to ensure that all unauthorized ballot boxes have been removed statewide, we will be moving forward with issuing a subpoena for the information listed above.

Please advise by 10:15 am as to whether you will accept service on behalf of your clients, the California, Fresno County, Los Angeles County, and County of Orange Republican Party.

We are also amenable to a call with anyone in your office. If someone is available to speak with us prior to 10:15, please let us know, and we will arrange a conference call line.

In addition, we are in receipt of and assessing your communication provided at 5:54 pm last night. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura L. Faer
Deputy Attorney General
Bureau of Children's Justice
Civil Rights Enforcement Section
California Department of Justice
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612

Tel: 510-879-3304

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click <u>here</u> to report this email as spam.

1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. BELTON (SBN 266836)	
4	SUSAN SLAGER (SBN 162942) Supervising Deputy Attorneys General	
5	Laura Faer (SBN 233846) Katherine Lehe (SBN 273472)	
6	VILMA PALMA-SOLANA (SBN 267992) JAMES E. STANLEY (SBN 316288)	
7	Deputy Attorneys General 1300 I Street, 15th Floor	
8	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 210-6475	
9	Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov	
10		
11	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
12		
13		
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15		
16	In the Matter of the Investigation of:	
17	BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES LAW COMPLIANCE	
18		
19	[GOV. CODE § 11180, ET SEQ.]	
20		
21		
22	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED:	
23	You are served on behalf of the California Republican Party.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	
	INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES	

7

9

10

12

11

14

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Pursuant to the powers conferred by Article 2 of Chapter 2 of Division 3 of Title 2 (Cal. Gov. Code. § 11180 et seq.) of the Government Code of California, on the Attorney General, as head of the California Department of Justice, which powers and authority to conduct the above entitled investigation have been delegated to the undersigned, an officer of that Department,

CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY

(hereinafter "WITNESS") IS HEREBY COMMANDED to answer separately and fully in writing, under oath, by 5:00 P.M. (PDT) on Monday, October 19, 2020, each of the interrogatories set forth below.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE

- 1. An answer or other appropriate response must be given to each interrogatory set forth below.
- 2. Each answer must be as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to WITNESS, including the information possessed by WITNESS's subsidiaries, affiliates, parents, predecessors, successors, employees, partners, officers, agents or representatives, permits. If an interrogatory cannot be answered completely, answer it to the extent possible, specifying the reasons for WITNESS's inability to answer the remainder of the interrogatory and stating whatever information, knowledge or belief that WITNESS has concerning the unanswered portion thereof, and "IDENTIFY" (as defined below) all other sources of more complete or accurate information.
- 3. As used herein, the singular includes the plural, and vice versa; and the past tense includes the present tense, and vice versa.
- As used herein, the terms "all," includes the term "any," "each," and "every" and vice versa. 4.
- As used herein, the term "including" or "include" shall be construed as "including, but not limited to" or "including, without limitation," or "includes but is not limited to" or "includes, without limitation," etc.
- As used herein, the conjunctions "and" and "or" shall be interpreted conjunctively and shall not be interpreted disjunctively to exclude any information otherwise within the scope of these Interrogatories.

- 2. in the case of a PERSON other than a natural PERSON, its name, the address of its principal place of business (including zip code), its telephone number, and the name of its chief executive officer, the name of any PERSON that ultimately controls it, along with the address of that controlling PERSON's principal place of business (including zip code), telephone number, and if applicable, the name of that controlling PERSON's chief executive officer;
- 3. in the case of a location, the address (including zip code) of that location, or other identifying information if there is no address;
- 4. in the case of a communication, its date, type (*e.g.*, telephone conversation or discussion), the place where it occurred, the identity of the PERSON who made the communication, the identity of the PERSON who received the communication, the identity of each other PERSON present when it was made, and the subject matter discussed;
- 5. in the case of a DOCUMENT, the title of the DOCUMENT, the author, the title or position of the author, the addressee, each recipient, the type of DOCUMENT, the subject matter, the date of preparation, its beginning Bates number, and its total number of pages;
- 6. in the case of a website, provide the universal resource locator (aka the Uniform Resource Locator or URL) for the website and the IP address, IDENTIFY the web hosting service, and IDENTIFY the owner and operator of the website; and
- 7. in the case of a contract, provide its date, IDENTIFY all PERSONS who were parties to the contract, IDENTIFY each natural PERSON who signed the contract, IDENTIFY each PERSON who has knowledge of the contract and all other PERSONS present when it was made or negotiated, its beginning Bates number (as applicable) and the subject matter of the contract.
- D. "PERSON" means any natural person, corporation, company, partnership, joint venture, firm, association, proprietorship, agency, board, authority, commission, office or other business or legal entity, whether private or public.

- E. "RELATING TO" includes constituting, containing, concerning, discussing, describing, analyzing, identifying, referring to, relating to, referencing, documenting, governing, regulating, directing, evidencing or stating.
- F. "YOU" and "YOUR" means the CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY including its officers, agents, and representatives of the same.
- G. "POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND PRACTICES" refers to all reports, memoranda, legal opinions, correspondence, audits, rules, policies, procedures, protocols, directives, decision trees, instructions, formal or informal practices, written or unwritten, and each common understanding or course of conduct that was recognized by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf, that has been in effect at any time during the RELEVANT PERIOD. These terms also include any change of policy
- H. "DOCUMENT" means, without limitation, any "writing" as defined in California Evidence Code Section 250 and includes originals (as defined in California Evidence Code section 255) or duplicates (as defined in California Evidence Code section 260) or copies of the writings, and non-identical copies bearing or having any attachments, notes, or marks which distinguish them from the originals, and drafts, regardless of origin or location, of any information, writing or data stored in paper, electronic, tape or any other format, including without limitation written or printed matter, video or audio tapes, image-bearing film, photographs and images, and electronically stored information ("ESI") as defined herein. It further includes without limitation letters, telegrams, telexes, facsimiles, correspondence, memoranda, email, text messages, video, voicemail, reports, contracts, studies, calendar or diary entries, minutes, pamphlets, handwritten notes, charts, tabulations, records of meetings, conferences, digital or electronic messages or communications, telephone or other conversations or communications, and tapes or slides, as well as computer files, directories, and programs in whatever form, including but not limited to information stored in cloud storage, mobile telephones, iPads, or tablets.

INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES

1	INTERROGATORY NO. 3:	
2	IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU of	or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on
3	YOUR behalf have previously placed or are current	atly placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX
4	during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the location	ns where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has
5	been removed and state the locations where an UNO	FFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed,
6	deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.	
7		
8	FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS SET OF INVI	ESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES WILL
9	SUBJECT YOU TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND PE	NALTIES PROVIDED BY LAW.
10	Dated: October 16, 2020	S-9 h
11		JAMES E. STANLEY
12		Deputy Attorney General
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	·	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	,	
27		
28		

James Stanley

From: James Stanley

Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 3:38 PM

To: 'Thomas Hiltachk'; Laura Faer; Ashlee N. Titus

Cc: Susan Slager; Anthony OBrien; Anthony Hakl; Sarah Belton; Vilma Palma-Solana;

Katherine Lehe; Thomas Patterson; Michael L. Newman

Subject: RE: Investigative Subpoena and Interrogatories

Attachments: AGO-OC GOP Interrogatories 2020.10.16.pdf; AGO-Fresno GOP Interrogatories

2020.10.16.pdf; AGO-LA GOP Subpoena 2020.10.16.pdf; AGO-OC GOP Subpoena

2020.10.16.pdf; AGO-Fresno GOP Subpoena 2020.10.16.pdf; AGO-LA GOP

Interrogatories 2020.10.16.pdf

Mr. Hiltachk:

Thank you for confirming that you are authorized to accept service. Please find attached investigative subpoenas and investigative interrogatories to the Fresno County Republican Party, the Republican Party of Orange County, and the Republican Party of Los Angeles, served via this email. Please confirm receipt.

Please feel free to contact us if you would like to discuss these documents.

Best regards, Jim Stanley

James E. Stanley

Deputy Attorney General Civil Rights Enforcement Section California Department of Justice 1300 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone: (916) 210-6475 james.stanley@doj.ca.gov

From: Thomas Hiltachk <tomh@bmhlaw.com>

Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 2:48 PM

To: James Stanley <James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov>; Laura Faer <Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov>; Ashlee N. Titus

<atitus@bmhlaw.com>

Cc: Susan Slager <Susan.Slager@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony OBrien <Anthony.OBrien@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony Hakl <Anthony.Hakl@doj.ca.gov>; Sarah Belton <Sarah.Belton@doj.ca.gov>; Vilma Palma-Solana <Vilma.Solana@doj.ca.gov>; Katherine Lehe <Katherine.Lehe@doj.ca.gov>; Thomas Patterson <Thomas.Patterson@doj.ca.gov>; Michael L. Newman <Michael.Newman@doj.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Investigative Subpoena and Interrogatories

I have been authorized by the three identified county party committees, as well (Fresno/OC/LA).

Thomas W. Hiltachk Partner 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95814 P (916) 442-7757 Circular 230 Disclosure: In compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS pursuant to IRS Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be attorney-client privileged. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

From: James Stanley <James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov>

Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 2:46 PM

To: Thomas Hiltachk <tomh@bmhlaw.com>; Laura Faer <Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov>; Ashlee N. Titus

<atitus@bmhlaw.com>

Cc: Susan Slager <Susan.Slager@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony OBrien <Anthony.OBrien@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony Hakl

<a href="mailto:-<a href="mailto:-<a href="mailto:-<a href="mailto:-<a href="mailto:-<a href="mailto:-<a href="mailto:-<a href="mailto:<a href="ma

Katherine Lehe <Katherine.Lehe@doj.ca.gov>; Thomas Patterson <Thomas.Patterson@doj.ca.gov>; Michael L. Newman

<Michael.Newman@doj.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Investigative Subpoena and Interrogatories

Mr. Hiltachk:

Thank you for agreeing to accept service. Please find attached an investigative subpoena and investigative interrogatories for the California Republican Party, served via this email. Please confirm receipt. Please also let us know if you are authorized to accept service on behalf of the Fresno and/or Orange County Republican Committees.

We are available to discuss these documents at any time; please feel free to contact us via email or phone.

Best regards, Jim Stanley

James E. Stanley

Deputy Attorney General Civil Rights Enforcement Section California Department of Justice 1300 | Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 210-6475 james.stanley@doj.ca.gov

From: Thomas Hiltachk <tomh@bmhlaw.com> Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 10:20 AM

To: Laura Faer <Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov>; Ashlee N. Titus <atitus@bmhlaw.com>

Cc: Susan Slager <Susan.Slager@doj.ca.gov>; James Stanley <James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony OBrien

<Anthony.OBrien@doj.ca.gov>; Anthony Hakl <Anthony.Hakl@doj.ca.gov>; Sarah Belton <Sarah.Belton@doj.ca.gov>;

Vilma Palma-Solana < Vilma. Solana @doj.ca.gov >; Katherine Lehe < Katherine. Lehe @doj.ca.gov >; Thomas Patterson

<Thomas.Patterson@doj.ca.gov>; Michael L. Newman <Michael.Newman@doj.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Follow-up

Yes, I will accept service of a subpoena for CRP. I was only authorized to respond to the SOS letter by Fresno and Orange County, and as we told you we were NOT authorized to respond to the letter by LA County (which did not have a

program). I do not have authority to accept service of a subpoena for the county committees, but I can inquire, if you would like.

Thomas W.
Hiltachk
Partner
BELL, MCANDREWS
& HILTACHK, LLP

455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95814 P (916) 442-7757 F (916) 442-7759 E tomh@bmhlaw.com Follow us on Twitter

Circular 230 Disclosure: In compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS pursuant to IRS Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be attorney-client privileged. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

From: Laura Faer < <u>Laura.Faer@doj.ca.gov</u>>
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 9:56 AM

To: Thomas Hiltachk <tomh@bmhlaw.com>; Ashlee N. Titus <atitus@bmhlaw.com>

Cc: Susan Slager < <u>Susan.Slager@doj.ca.gov</u>>; James Stanley < <u>James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Anthony OBrien < <u>Anthony.OBrien@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Anthony Hakl < <u>Anthony.Hakl@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Sarah Belton < <u>Sarah.Belton@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Vilma Palma-Solana < <u>Vilma.Solana@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Katherine Lehe < <u>Katherine.Lehe@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Thomas Patterson

<<u>Thomas.Patterson@doj.ca.gov</u>>; Michael L. Newman <<u>Michael.Newman@doj.ca.gov</u>>

Subject: Follow-up

Mr. Hiltachk: I received your email stating that you and your partner, Ms. Titus, will not be able to meet with us by phone this morning, so I am following up by email.

As you know, on October 12, 2020, the Attorney General and Secretary of State's Offices sent the California Republican Party, the Fresno County Republican Party, the Los Angeles County Republican Party, and the Republican Party of Orange County a cease and desist letter, which included a demand to contact the Secretary of State's office to arrange to provide county elections officials with the contact information for voters who dropped off their ballots in unauthorized drop boxes and provide the number and location of each unofficial drop box deployed by close of business on October 15, 2020. As of close of business yesterday, you and your clients had failed to provide the aforementioned information. At this time, to, among other things, ensure the integrity of the voting process in California, that all votes placed in unauthorized boxes have been properly delivered to county election officials and that voters who dropped off ballots are aware of their rights under California law and how to track receipt of their ballots, and to ensure that all unauthorized ballot boxes have been removed statewide, we will be moving forward with issuing a subpoena for the information listed above.

Please advise by 10:15 am as to whether you will accept service on behalf of your clients, the California, Fresno County, Los Angeles County, and County of Orange Republican Party.

We are also amenable to a call with anyone in your office. If someone is available to speak with us prior to 10:15, please let us know, and we will arrange a conference call line.

In addition, we are in receipt of and assessing your communication provided at 5:54 pm last night. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laura L. Faer
Deputy Attorney General
Bureau of Children's Justice

Civil Rights Enforcement Section California Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612

Tel: 510-879-3304

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

This email has been scanned for spam and viruses by Proofpoint Essentials. Click <u>here</u> to report this email as spam.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. BELTON (SBN 266836) SUSAN SLAGER (SBN 162942) Supervising Deputy Attorneys General LAURA FAER (SBN 233846) KATHERINE LEHE (SBN 273472) VILMA PALMA-SOLANA (SBN 267992) JAMES E. STANLEY (SBN 316288) Deputy Attorneys General 1300 I Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 210-6475 Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov
11	
12	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
13	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	
16	In the Matter of the Investigation of:
17	BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES LAW COMPLIANCE
18	[GOV. CODE § 11180, ET SEQ.]
19	[GOV. CODE § 11180, E1 SEQ.]
20	
21	
22	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED:
23	
24	You are served on behalf of the Fresno County Republican Party.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1
	INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES

Pursuant to the powers conferred by Article 2 of Chapter 2 of Division 3 of Title 2 (Cal. Gov. Code, § 11180 et seq.) of the Government Code of California, on the Attorney General, as head of the California Department of Justice, which powers and authority to conduct the above entitled investigation have been delegated to the undersigned, an officer of that Department,

FRESNO COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY

(hereinafter "WITNESS") **IS HEREBY COMMANDED** to answer separately and fully in writing, under oath, by 5:00 P.M. (PDT) on Monday, October 19, 2020, each of the interrogatories set forth below.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE

- 1. An answer or other appropriate response must be given to each interrogatory set forth below.
- 2. Each answer must be as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to WITNESS, including the information possessed by WITNESS's subsidiaries, affiliates, parents, predecessors, successors, employees, partners, officers, agents or representatives, permits. If an interrogatory cannot be answered completely, answer it to the extent possible, specifying the reasons for WITNESS's inability to answer the remainder of the interrogatory and stating whatever information, knowledge or belief that WITNESS has concerning the unanswered portion thereof, and "IDENTIFY" (as defined below) all other sources of more complete or accurate information.
- 3. As used herein, the singular includes the plural, and vice versa; and the past tense includes the present tense, and vice versa.
- 4. As used herein, the terms "all," includes the term "any," "each," and "every" and vice versa.
- 5. As used herein, the term "including" or "include" shall be construed as "including, but not limited to" or "including, without limitation," or "includes but is not limited to" or "includes, without limitation," etc.
- 6. As used herein, the conjunctions "and" and "or" shall be interpreted conjunctively and shall not be interpreted disjunctively to exclude any information otherwise within the scope of these Interrogatories.

- 2. in the case of a PERSON other than a natural PERSON, its name, the address of its principal place of business (including zip code), its telephone number, and the name of its chief executive officer, the name of any PERSON that ultimately controls it, along with the address of that controlling PERSON's principal place of business (including zip code), telephone number, and if applicable, the name of that controlling PERSON's chief executive officer;
- 3. in the case of a location, the address (including zip code) of that location, or other identifying information if there is no address;
- 4. in the case of a communication, its date, type (*e.g.*, telephone conversation or discussion), the place where it occurred, the identity of the PERSON who made the communication, the identity of the PERSON who received the communication, the identity of each other PERSON present when it was made, and the subject matter discussed;
- 5. in the case of a DOCUMENT, the title of the DOCUMENT, the author, the title or position of the author, the addressee, each recipient, the type of DOCUMENT, the subject matter, the date of preparation, its beginning Bates number, and its total number of pages;
- 6. in the case of a website, provide the universal resource locator (aka the Uniform Resource Locator or URL) for the website and the IP address, IDENTIFY the web hosting service, and IDENTIFY the owner and operator of the website; and
- 7. in the case of a contract, provide its date, IDENTIFY all PERSONS who were parties to the contract, IDENTIFY each natural PERSON who signed the contract, IDENTIFY each PERSON who has knowledge of the contract and all other PERSONS present when it was made or negotiated, its beginning Bates number (as applicable) and the subject matter of the contract.
- D. "PERSON" means any natural person, corporation, company, partnership, joint venture, firm, association, proprietorship, agency, board, authority, commission, office or other business or legal entity, whether private or public.

- E. "RELATING TO" includes constituting, containing, concerning, discussing, describing, analyzing, identifying, referring to, relating to, referencing, documenting, governing, regulating, directing, evidencing or stating.
- F. "YOU" and "YOUR" means the FRESNO COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY including its officers, agents, and representatives of the same.
- G. "POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND PRACTICES" refers to all reports, memoranda, legal opinions, correspondence, audits, rules, policies, procedures, protocols, directives, decision trees, instructions, formal or informal practices, written or unwritten, and each common understanding or course of conduct that was recognized by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf, that has been in effect at any time during the RELEVANT PERIOD. These terms also include any change of policy
- H. "DOCUMENT" means, without limitation, any "writing" as defined in California Evidence Code Section 250 and includes originals (as defined in California Evidence Code section 255) or duplicates (as defined in California Evidence Code section 260) or copies of the writings, and non-identical copies bearing or having any attachments, notes, or marks which distinguish them from the originals, and drafts, regardless of origin or location, of any information, writing or data stored in paper, electronic, tape or any other format, including without limitation written or printed matter, video or audio tapes, image-bearing film, photographs and images, and electronically stored information ("ESI") as defined herein. It further includes without limitation letters, telegrams, telexes, facsimiles, correspondence, memoranda, email, text messages, video, voicemail, reports, contracts, studies, calendar or diary entries, minutes, pamphlets, handwritten notes, charts, tabulations, records of meetings, conferences, digital or electronic messages or communications, telephone or other conversations or communications, and tapes or slides, as well as computer files, directories, and programs in whatever form, including but not limited to information stored in cloud storage, mobile telephones, iPads, or tablets.

1	I. "VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT" means any ballot distributed to eligible voters that provides
2	them with the options of casting and returning their voted ballots pursuant to California Elections
3	code section 3017.
4	J. "UNOFFICIAL BALLOT BOX" means any box, crate, chest, cabinet, container, or other
5	receptacle used, promoted, advertised, or distributed by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to
6	act on YOUR behalf for the purpose of receiving, obtaining, depositing, storing, or transporting
7	VOTE BY MAIL BALLOTS at any time. This definition does not include any secure receptacle
8	established by a county or city and county official pursuant to Elections Code section 3025
9	<u>INTERROGATORIES</u>
10	INTERROGATORY NO. 1:
11	IDENTIFY all individuals by name, address, and birth date that have deposited a VOTE BY MAIL
12	BALLOT in any UNOFFICIAL BALLOT BOX.
13	
14	INTERROGATORY NO. 2:
15	State the number of UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES used, installed, deployed, coordinated,
16	promoted, advertised, or distributed by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR
17	behalf.
18	
19	
20	\\\\
21	\\\\\
22	\\\\
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6

1	INTERROGATORY NO. 3:
2	IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on
3	YOUR behalf have previously placed or are currently placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX
4	during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has
5	been removed and state the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed,
6	deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.
7	
8	FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS SET OF INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES WILL
9	SUBJECT YOU TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND PENALTIES PROVIDED BY LAW.
10	Dated: October 16, 2020
11	JAMES E. STANLEY
12	Deputy Attorney General
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California Michael L. Newman Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. Beltton (SBN 266836) SUSAN SLAGER (SBN 162942) Supervising Deputy Attorneys General LAURA FAER (SBN 233846) KATHERINE LEHE (SBN 273472) VILMA PALMA-SOLANA (SBN 267992) JAMES E. STANLEY (SBN 316288) Deputy Attorneys General 1300 I Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 210-6475 Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov	
11		
12	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
13	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In the Matter of the Investigation of: BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION LAW COMPLIANCE [GOV. CODE § 11180, ET SEQ.]	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served on behalf of the Republican Party of Orange County.	
	1	
	INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES	

Pursuant to the powers conferred by Article 2 of Chapter 2 of Division 3 of Title 2 (Cal. Gov. Code, § 11180 et seq.) of the Government Code of California, on the Attorney General, as head of the California Department of Justice, which powers and authority to conduct the above entitled investigation have been delegated to the undersigned, an officer of that Department,

REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY

(hereinafter "WITNESS") **IS HEREBY COMMANDED** to answer separately and fully in writing, under oath, by 5:00 P.M. (PDT) on Monday, October 19, 2020, each of the interrogatories set forth below.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE

- 1. An answer or other appropriate response must be given to each interrogatory set forth below.
- 2. Each answer must be as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to WITNESS, including the information possessed by WITNESS's subsidiaries, affiliates, parents, predecessors, successors, employees, partners, officers, agents or representatives, permits. If an interrogatory cannot be answered completely, answer it to the extent possible, specifying the reasons for WITNESS's inability to answer the remainder of the interrogatory and stating whatever information, knowledge or belief that WITNESS has concerning the unanswered portion thereof, and "IDENTIFY" (as defined below) all other sources of more complete or accurate information.
- 3. As used herein, the singular includes the plural, and vice versa; and the past tense includes the present tense, and vice versa.
- 4. As used herein, the terms "all," includes the term "any," "each," and "every" and vice versa.
- 5. As used herein, the term "including" or "include" shall be construed as "including, but not limited to" or "including, without limitation," or "includes but is not limited to" or "includes, without limitation," etc.
- 6. As used herein, the conjunctions "and" and "or" shall be interpreted conjunctively and shall not be interpreted disjunctively to exclude any information otherwise within the scope of these Interrogatories.

- 2. in the case of a PERSON other than a natural PERSON, its name, the address of its principal place of business (including zip code), its telephone number, and the name of its chief executive officer, the name of any PERSON that ultimately controls it, along with the address of that controlling PERSON's principal place of business (including zip code), telephone number, and if applicable, the name of that controlling PERSON's chief executive officer;
- 3. in the case of a location, the address (including zip code) of that location, or other identifying information if there is no address;
- 4. in the case of a communication, its date, type (e.g., telephone conversation or discussion), the place where it occurred, the identity of the PERSON who made the communication, the identity of the PERSON who received the communication, the identity of each other PERSON present when it was made, and the subject matter discussed;
- 5. in the case of a DOCUMENT, the title of the DOCUMENT, the author, the title or position of the author, the addressee, each recipient, the type of DOCUMENT, the subject matter, the date of preparation, its beginning Bates number, and its total number of pages;
- 6. in the case of a website, provide the universal resource locator (aka the Uniform Resource Locator or URL) for the website and the IP address, IDENTIFY the web hosting service, and IDENTIFY the owner and operator of the website; and
- 7. in the case of a contract, provide its date, IDENTIFY all PERSONS who were parties to the contract, IDENTIFY each natural PERSON who signed the contract, IDENTIFY each PERSON who has knowledge of the contract and all other PERSONS present when it was made or negotiated, its beginning Bates number (as applicable) and the subject matter of the contract.
- D. "PERSON" means any natural person, corporation, company, partnership, joint venture, firm, association, proprietorship, agency, board, authority, commission, office or other business or legal entity, whether private or public.

- E. "RELATING TO" includes constituting, containing, concerning, discussing, describing, analyzing, identifying, referring to, relating to, referencing, documenting, governing, regulating, directing, evidencing or stating.
- F. "YOU" and "YOUR" means the REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY including its officers, agents, and representatives of the same.
- G. "POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND PRACTICES" refers to all reports, memoranda, legal opinions, correspondence, audits, rules, policies, procedures, protocols, directives, decision trees, instructions, formal or informal practices, written or unwritten, and each common understanding or course of conduct that was recognized by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf, that has been in effect at any time during the RELEVANT PERIOD. These terms also include any change of policy
- H. "DOCUMENT" means, without limitation, any "writing" as defined in California Evidence Code Section 250 and includes originals (as defined in California Evidence Code section 255) or duplicates (as defined in California Evidence Code section 260) or copies of the writings, and non-identical copies bearing or having any attachments, notes, or marks which distinguish them from the originals, and drafts, regardless of origin or location, of any information, writing or data stored in paper, electronic, tape or any other format, including without limitation written or printed matter, video or audio tapes, image-bearing film, photographs and images, and electronically stored information ("ESI") as defined herein. It further includes without limitation letters, telegrams, telexes, facsimiles, correspondence, memoranda, email, text messages, video, voicemail, reports, contracts, studies, calendar or diary entries, minutes, pamphlets, handwritten notes, charts, tabulations, records of meetings, conferences, digital or electronic messages or communications, telephone or other conversations or communications, and tapes or slides, as well as computer files, directories, and programs in whatever form, including but not limited to information stored in cloud storage, mobile telephones, iPads, or tablets.

INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES

1	INTERROGATORY NO. 3:		
2	IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on		
3	YOUR behalf have previously placed or are currently placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX		
4	during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has		
5	been removed and state the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed,		
6	deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.		
7			
8	FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS SET OF INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES WILL		
9	SUBJECT YOU TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND PENALTIES PROVIDED BY LAW.		
10	Dated: October 16, 2020		
11	JAMES E. STANLEY		
12	Deputy Attorney General		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General SARAH E. BELTON (SBN 266836) SUBAN SHAGER (SBN 162042)		
4	SUSAN SLAGER (SBN 162942) Supervising Deputy Attorneys General LAURA FAER (SBN 233846)		
5	KATHERINE LEHE (SBN 273472) VILMA PALMA-SOLANA (SBN 267992)		
6	JAMES E. STANLEY (SBN 316288) Deputy Attorneys General		
7	1300 I Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
8	Telephone: (916) 210-6475 Fax: (916) 327-2319		
9	E-mail: James.Stanley@doj.ca.gov		
10			
11	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		
12	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
13 14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16			
	In the Matter of the Investigation of:		
17 18	BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION LAW COMPLIANCE INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES		
19	[GOV. CODE § 11180, ET SEQ.]		
20			
21			
22			
23	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED:		
24	You are served on behalf of the Republican Party of Los Angeles County.		
25.			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES

Pursuant to the powers conferred by Article 2 of Chapter 2 of Division 3 of Title 2 (Cal. Gov. Code, § 11180 et seq.) of the Government Code of California, on the Attorney General, as head of the California Department of Justice, which powers and authority to conduct the above entitled investigation have been delegated to the undersigned, an officer of that Department,

REPUBLICAN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

(hereinafter "WITNESS") **IS HEREBY COMMANDED** to answer separately and fully in writing, under oath, by 5:00 P.M. (PDT) on Monday, October 19, 2020, each of the interrogatories set forth below.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE

- 1. An answer or other appropriate response must be given to each interrogatory set forth below.
- 2. Each answer must be as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to WITNESS, including the information possessed by WITNESS's subsidiaries, affiliates, parents, predecessors, successors, employees, partners, officers, agents or representatives, permits. If an interrogatory cannot be answered completely, answer it to the extent possible, specifying the reasons for WITNESS's inability to answer the remainder of the interrogatory and stating whatever information, knowledge or belief that WITNESS has concerning the unanswered portion thereof, and "IDENTIFY" (as defined below) all other sources of more complete or accurate information.
- 3. As used herein, the singular includes the plural, and vice versa; and the past tense includes the present tense, and vice versa.
- 4. As used herein, the terms "all," includes the term "any," "each," and "every" and vice versa.
- 5. As used herein, the term "including" or "include" shall be construed as "including, but not limited to" or "including, without limitation," or "includes but is not limited to" or "includes, without limitation," etc.
- 6. As used herein, the conjunctions "and" and "or" shall be interpreted conjunctively and shall not be interpreted disjunctively to exclude any information otherwise within the scope of these Interrogatories.

- 2. in the case of a PERSON other than a natural PERSON, its name, the address of its principal place of business (including zip code), its telephone number, and the name of its chief executive officer, the name of any PERSON that ultimately controls it, along with the address of that controlling PERSON's principal place of business (including zip code), telephone number, and if applicable, the name of that controlling PERSON's chief executive officer;
- 3. in the case of a location, the address (including zip code) of that location, or other identifying information if there is no address;
- 4. in the case of a communication, its date, type (*e.g.*, telephone conversation or discussion), the place where it occurred, the identity of the PERSON who made the communication, the identity of the PERSON who received the communication, the identity of each other PERSON present when it was made, and the subject matter discussed;
- 5. in the case of a DOCUMENT, the title of the DOCUMENT, the author, the title or position of the author, the addressee, each recipient, the type of DOCUMENT, the subject matter, the date of preparation, its beginning Bates number, and its total number of pages;
- 6. in the case of a website, provide the universal resource locator (aka the Uniform Resource Locator or URL) for the website and the IP address, IDENTIFY the web hosting service, and IDENTIFY the owner and operator of the website; and
- 7. in the case of a contract, provide its date, IDENTIFY all PERSONS who were parties to the contract, IDENTIFY each natural PERSON who signed the contract, IDENTIFY each PERSON who has knowledge of the contract and all other PERSONS present when it was made or negotiated, its beginning Bates number (as applicable) and the subject matter of the contract.
- D. "PERSON" means any natural person, corporation, company, partnership, joint venture, firm, association, proprietorship, agency, board, authority, commission, office or other business or legal entity, whether private or public.

- E. "RELATING TO" includes constituting, containing, concerning, discussing, describing, analyzing, identifying, referring to, relating to, referencing, documenting, governing, regulating, directing, evidencing or stating.
- F. "YOU" and "YOUR" means the REPUBLICAN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY including its officers, agents, and representatives of the same.
- G. "POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND PRACTICES" refers to all reports, memoranda, legal opinions, correspondence, audits, rules, policies, procedures, protocols, directives, decision trees, instructions, formal or informal practices, written or unwritten, and each common understanding or course of conduct that was recognized by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf, that has been in effect at any time during the RELEVANT PERIOD. These terms also include any change of policy
- H. "DOCUMENT" means, without limitation, any "writing" as defined in California Evidence Code Section 250 and includes originals (as defined in California Evidence Code section 255) or duplicates (as defined in California Evidence Code section 260) or copies of the writings, and non-identical copies bearing or having any attachments, notes, or marks which distinguish them from the originals, and drafts, regardless of origin or location, of any information, writing or data stored in paper, electronic, tape or any other format, including without limitation written or printed matter, video or audio tapes, image-bearing film, photographs and images, and electronically stored information ("ESI") as defined herein. It further includes without limitation letters, telegrams, telexes, facsimiles, correspondence, memoranda, email, text messages, video, voicemail, reports, contracts, studies, calendar or diary entries, minutes, pamphlets, handwritten notes, charts, tabulations, records of meetings, conferences, digital or electronic messages or communications, telephone or other conversations or communications, and tapes or slides, as well as computer files, directories, and programs in whatever form, including but not limited to information stored in cloud storage, mobile telephones, iPads, or tablets.

INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES

- 1		
1	INTERROGATORY NO. 3:	
2	IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on	
3	YOUR behalf have previously placed or are currently placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX	
4	during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has	
5	been removed and state the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed,	
6	deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.	
7		
8	FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS SET OF INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES WILL	
9	SUBJECT YOU TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND PENALTIES PROVIDED BY LAW.	
10	Dated: October 16, 2020 Since E June	
11	JAMES E. STANLEY	
12	Deputy Attorney General	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Exhibit B

James Stanley

From: Kiersten Merina <kmerina@bmhlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2020 4:54 PM

To: James Stanley

Cc: Thomas Hiltachk; Paul Gough

Subject: Ballot Collection and Election Law Compliance

Attachments: Pld 002 Rogg Response CRP.pdf; Pld 002 Rogg Response FCRP.pdf; Pld 002 Rogg

Response LAGOP.pdf; Pld 002 Rogg Response OCGOP.pdf

Importance: High

Mr. Stanley:

Re: Ballot Collection and Election Law Compliance

Pursuant to the parties' agreement to accept electronic service of documents for the above-referenced investigation, please find the following attached hereto:

- 1) California Republican Party's Response To The Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories;
- 2) Fresno County Republican Party's Response To The Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories;
- 3) Republican Party of Los Angeles County's Response To The Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories; and
- 4) Republican Party of Orange County's Response To The Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories.

If you have any issues opening the documents, please do not hesitate to contact me. A courtesy copy was also hand delivered to your office.

Kindly,

Kiersten Merina Paralegal

BELL, MCANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP

455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95814 P (916) 442-7757 F (916) 442-7759

This communication is confidential and may be legally privileged.

A Please consider the environment before printing this email

1	Thomas W. Hiltachk (SBN 131215) tomh@bmhlaw.com		
2	Paul T. Gough (SBN 75502) pgough@bmhlaw.com		
3	Brian T. Hildreth (SBN 214131) bhildreth@bmhlaw.com BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600		
4			
5	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 442-7757		
6	Facsimile: (916) 442-7759		
7	Attorneys for Respondent California Republican Party		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTME	NT OF HISTICE	
9			
10	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
11	STATE OF CALIFO	ORNIA	
12			
13		LIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY'S SPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY	
14	BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION GE	NERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE FERROGATORIES	
15	I LAW COMPLIANCE		
16	SE ^r	ΓΟΝΕ	
17			
18			
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY: ATTORNEY GET	NERAL	
20	RESPONDING PARTY: CALIFORNIA RI	EPUBLICAN PARTY	
21	SET NUMBER: ONE		
22			
23	The CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY ("	CRP") responds to the Attorney General's	
24	Investigative Interrogatories, Set 1, as follows:		
25	INTERROGATORY NO. 1: IDENTIFY all individu	uals by name, address and birth date that	
26			
27	have deposited a VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT in any UNOFFICIAL BALLOT BOX.		
28	1		
	CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY'S RESPONSE INVESTIGATIVE INTERRO		
	IIIV ESTIGATIVE INTERNO	J. I. J. WILD	

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

CRP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for CRP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to CRP.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with CRP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. CRP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

CRP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2: State the number of UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES used, installed, deployed, coordinated, promoted, advertised, or distributed by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

CRP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short

response time was not even disclosed to counsel for CRP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to CRP.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with CRP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that imposes a duty on any person to declare a "box, crate, chest, cabinet, container, or other receptacle" as "unofficial," there is no law prohibiting the use of a such a receptacle for the purpose of "storing" VBM ballots, and there is no law imposing a limitation on the number of such receptacles used. Whether the answer is 10 or 10,000, that answer is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. CRP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

CRP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf have previously placed or are currently placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has been removed and state the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed, deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

CRP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for CRP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to CRP.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with CRP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that restricts the location or number of locations in which a voter can entrust CRP with his or her VBM ballot and the CRP's use of a receptacle for temporary storage of that ballot. Thus, the location of any receptacle is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. CRP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

CRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

CRP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

26 /// 27 ///

28 /

1	DATED: October 19, 2020.	Respectfully submitted.
2		DELL MANDDEWC & HILTACHIZ LLD
3		BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP
4		
5		BY: frankfill THOMAS W. HILTACHK
6		Attorney for Respondent CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY
7		CALIFORNA REI OBEICAN I ART I
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		8
	CALIEODNIA DEDIDI ICA	AN DADTU'S DESPONSE TO THE ATTODNEY CENEDAL'S

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2			
3	 I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The following facts are within my first-hand and personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would testify thereto. 		
4	2. My business address is 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600, Sacramento, CA 95814.		
5	3. On October 19, 2020, I served the foregoing document entitled		
6 7	CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES		
8 9	on each person named below by attaching a true copy addressed as shown in Item 4 and by transmitting, by email, and causing to be hand delivered, to the offices of the addressee following ordinary business practices by 5:00pm.		
10	4. Name and address of each person served:		
11	California Department of Justice		
12	James Stanley Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, 15 th Floor		
13	Sacramento, CA 95814		
14	James.stanley@doj.ca.gov		
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
16	Executed on October 19, 2020, at Sacramento, California.		
17	01/100		
18	Kiersten Merina		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Thomas W. Hiltachk (SBN 131215) tomh@bmhlaw.com			
2	Paul T. Gough (SBN 75502) pgough@bmhlaw.com Brian T. Hildreth (SBN 214131) bhildreth@bmhlaw.com BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600			
3				
4				
5	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 442-7757			
6				
7	Attorneys for Respondent Fresno County Republican Party			
8		JT OF HISTICE		
9				
10	OFFICE OF THE ATTORN	EY GENERAL		
11	STATE OF CALIFO	DRNIA		
12				
13	I HI HICHVINIALICE OF THE HIS COLUMN OF THE TERM OF TH	ESNO COUNTY REPUBLICAN RTY'S RESPONSE TO THE		
14	BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION INV	FORNEY GENERAL'S 'ESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES		
15	LAW COMPLIANCE			
16	SET	ONE		
17				
18				
19				
20	RESPONDING PARTY: FRESNO COUNT	Y REPUBLICAN PARTY		
21	SET NUMBER: ONE			
22				
23		, , ,		
24	General's Investigative Interrogatories, Set 1, as follows	3:		
25	INTERROGATORY NO. 1: IDENTIFY all individu	als by name, address, and birth date that		
26		JOFFICIAL BALLOT BOX		
27	have deposited a VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT in any UNOFFICIAL BALLOT BOX.			
28	1			
		FRESNO COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES		

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

FCRP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for FCRP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to FCRP.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with FCRP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. FCRP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

FCRP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2: State the number of UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES used, installed, deployed, coordinated, promoted, advertised, or distributed by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

FCRP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short

response time was not even disclosed to counsel for FCRP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to FCRP.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with FCRP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that imposes a duty on any person to declare a "box, crate, chest, cabinet, container, or other receptacle" as "unofficial," there is no law prohibiting the use of a such a receptacle for the purpose of "storing" VBM ballots, and there is no law imposing a limitation on the number of such receptacles used. Whether the answer is 10 or 10,000, that answer is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. FCRP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

FCRP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf have previously placed or are currently placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has been removed and state the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed, deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

FCRP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for FCRP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to FCRP.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with FCRP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that restricts the location or number of locations in which a voter can entrust FCRP with his or her VBM ballot and the FCRP's use of a receptacle for temporary storage of that ballot. Thus, the location of any receptacle is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. FCRP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

FCRP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

FCRP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

1 2	DATED: October 19, 2020.	Respectfully submitted.
3		BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP
4		
5		BY: Wind Fell
6		THOMAS W. HILTACHK
7		Attorney for Respondent FRESNO COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		8
	EDESNO COUNTY DEDUDI I	CAN PARTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY CENERAL'S

1		PROOF OF SERVICE
2	1	
3	1.	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The following facts are within my first-hand and personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would testify thereto.
4	2.	My business address is 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600, Sacramento, CA 95814.
5	3.	On October 19, 2020, I served the foregoing document entitled
6 7	FRESNO	COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES
8		on each person named below by attaching a true copy addressed as shown in
9		Item 4 and by transmitting, by email, and causing to be hand delivered, to the offices of the addressee following ordinary business practices by 5:00pm.
10	4.	Name and address of each person served:
11		California Department of Justice
12		James Stanley Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, 15 th Floor
13		Sacramento, CA 95814
14		James.stanley@doj.ca.gov
15		I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
16	Execut	ted on October 19, 2020, at Sacramento, California.
17		01/100
18		Kiersten Merina
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Thomas W. Hiltachk (SBN 131215) tomh@bmhlaw.com		
2	Paul T. Gough (SBN 75502) pgough@bmhlaw.com		
3	Brian T. Hildreth (SBN 214131) bhildreth@bmhlaw.com		
4	BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600		
5	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 442-7757		
6	Facsimile: (916) 442-7759		
7	Attorneys for Respondent Republican Party of Los Angeles County		
8	BEFORE THE DEPART	MENT OF HISTIGE	
9			
10	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
11	STATE OF CA	LIFORNIA	
12			
13	In the Matter of the Investigation of:	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO	
14	BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES	
15	LAW COMPLIANCE		
16		SET ONE	
17			
18	PROPOLINIDA CINA PITY A TTORNEY	CENEDAL	
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY: ATTORNEY		
20		AN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
21	SET NUMBER: ONE		
22	TI DEDUDU ICAN DARTWOELOG ANG	CELEC COLDIES ((LA CODE) 1	
23		GELES COUNTY ("LAGOP") responds to the	
24	Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories, Set 1, as follows:		
25	INTERROGATORY NO. 1: IDENTIFY all ind	ividuals by name, address, and birth date that	
26	have deposited a VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT in an	v UNOFFICIAL BALLOT BOX.	
27	nave acposited a vote by MAIL BALLOT III any UNOTTICIAL BALLOT BOX.		
28	11		
	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES		
	II		

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

LAGOP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for LAGOP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to LAGOP.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with LAGOP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. LAGOP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

LAGOP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2: State the number of UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES used, installed, deployed, coordinated, promoted, advertised, or distributed by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

LAGOP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The

short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for LAGOP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to LAGOP.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with LAGOP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that imposes a duty on any person to declare a "box, crate, chest, cabinet, container, or other receptacle" as "unofficial," there is no law prohibiting the use of a such a receptacle for the purpose of "storing" VBM ballots, and there is no law imposing a limitation on the number of such receptacles used. Whether the answer is 10 or 10,000, that answer is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. LAGOP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

LAGOP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf have previously placed or are currently placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has been removed and state the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed, deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

LAGOP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for LAGOP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to LAGOP.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with LAGOP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that restricts the location or number of locations in which a voter can entrust LAGOP with his or her VBM ballot and the LAGOP's use of a receptacle for temporary storage of that ballot. Thus, the location of any receptacle is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. LAGOP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

LAGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

LAGOP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

///

1	DATED: October 19, 2020.	Respectfully submitted.
2		
3		BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP
4		Dy to hat to to
5		THOMAS W. HILTACHK
6		Attorney for Respondent REPUBLICAN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
7		REI OBLICANT ARTI OF LOS ANGELES COUNTI
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		8
	DEDIDLICAN DADTY OF LA	OS ANCELES COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2			
3	1. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am employed in the count where the mailing occurred. The following facts are within my first-hand an personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would testify thereto.		
4	2. My business address is 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600, Sacramento, CA 95814.		
5	3. On October 19, 2020, I served the foregoing document entitled		
6 7	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES		
8 9	on each person named below by attaching a true copy addressed as shown in Item 4 and by transmitting, by email, and causing to be hand delivered, to the offices of the addressee following ordinary business practices by 5:00pm.		
10	4. Name and address of each person served:		
11	California Department of Justice		
12	James Stanley Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, 15 th Floor		
13	Sacramento, CA 95814		
14	James.stanley@doj.ca.gov		
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
16	Executed on October 19, 2020, at Sacramento, California.		
17	01/100		
18	Kiersten Merina		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Thomas W. Hiltachk (SBN 131215) tomh@bmhlaw.com Paul T. Gough (SBN 75502) ngough@bmhlaw.com				
2					
3	pgough@bmhlaw.com Brian T. Hildreth (SBN 214131) bhildreth@bmhlay.com				
4	bhildreth@bmhlaw.com BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600				
5	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 442-7757				
6	Facsimile: (916) 442-7759				
7	Attorneys for Respondent Republican Party of Orange County				
8					
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				
10	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL				
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12]			
13	In the Matter of the Investigation of:	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO THE			
14	BALLOT COLLECTION AND ELECTION	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES			
15	LAW COMPLIANCE				
16		SET ONE			
17					
18					
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY: ATTORNEY				
20	RESPONDING PARTY: REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY				
21	SET NUMBER: ONE				
22					
23	The REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY ("OCGOP") responds to the				
24	Attorney General's Investigative Interrogatories, S	Set 1, as follows:			
25	INTERROGATORY NO. 1: IDENTIFY all inc	dividuals by name, address, and birth date that			
26	have deposited a VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT in a	ny UNOFFICIAL BALLOT BOX			
27	in the deposition with the brief of the the				
28	1				
	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES				
	d .				

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

3 4 7

5 6

8 9

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

24

23

25 26

27

OCGOP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for OCGOP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to OCGOP.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with OCGOP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. OCGOP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

OCGOP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2: State the number of UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES used, installed, deployed, coordinated, promoted, advertised, or distributed by YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

OCGOP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The

short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for OCGOP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to OCGOP.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with OCGOP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that imposes a duty on any person to declare a "box, crate, chest, cabinet, container, or other receptacle" as "unofficial," there is no law prohibiting the use of a such a receptacle for the purpose of "storing" VBM ballots, and there is no law imposing a limitation on the number of such receptacles used. Whether the answer is 10 or 10,000, that answer is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. OCGOP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

OCGOP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: IDENTIFY all locations in California where YOU or PERSONS acting or purporting to act on YOUR behalf have previously placed or are currently placing an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX during the RELEVANT PERIOD. State the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX has been removed and state the locations where an UNOFFICIAL DROP BOX is still used, installed, deployed, promoted, advertised, or distributed.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

OCGOP objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory was not regularly promulgated as that term is used in Government Code section 11188 including the fact that the interrogatories were served on counsel (as a professional courtesy) on the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020 with a response date of Monday, October 19, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. The short response time was not even disclosed to counsel for OCGOP at the time the request to accept service was made by counsel for the Attorney General.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the Attorney General has no statutory authority to investigate non-criminal activities that are constitutionally protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and regulated under the California Elections Code and by the Secretary of State. If the Attorney General is investigating criminal activity, it may not use an administrative subpoena to obtain information in furtherance of such an investigation.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that an administrative interrogatory may not be used to compel disclosure of constitutionally protected private, associational, political, and/or confidential information, and there is no legitimate or important interest in compelling such disclosure here.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that there is no law, violation of law, or threatened violation of law being investigated, which is necessary to confirm statutory authority for the investigation and relevancy of the information sought.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks constitutionally protected information and seeks to violate the secrecy of the ballot and the trust of voters who provided their VBM ballot to OCGOP.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is burdensome and oppressive and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that this interrogatory requests information that is not reasonably relevant to any lawful investigation.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is intended to harass and interfere with OCGOP's political operations at a critical time (the General election just 14 days away), as evidenced by the demand for response by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, October, 19th, 2020, the next business day following its service in the afternoon of Friday, October 16, 2020, without any justification for the shortened response time.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected by attorney-client privilege (see, pg. 5).

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous, unintelligible and calls for speculation as to its meaning.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is irrelevant to any law, alleged violation of law, or anticipated violation of law. There is no law that restricts the location or number of locations in which a voter can entrust OCGOP with his or her VBM ballot and the OCGOP's use of a receptacle for temporary storage of that ballot. Thus, the location of any receptacle is not relevant to any "investigation" of the Department of Justice.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is indefinite and unanswerable under penalty of perjury. The "RELEVANT PERIOD" is defined as September 1, 2020 "through the final response date of this subpoena," presumably 5:00 p.m, October 19, 2020. The state has over 40 million registered voters spread out over 58 counties. OCGOP could not provide an accurate response at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2020.

OCGOP further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "UNOFFICIAL DROP BOXES" is not defined in the interrogatory even though it is capitalized which implies a definition is provided in the interrogatories.

OCGOP reserves the right to include additional objections upon any motion to compel compliance with this subpoena.

///

///

1 2	DATED: October 19, 2020.	Respectfully submitted.
3		BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP
4		
5		BY: Junt Lell
6		THOMAS W. HILTACHK Attorney for Respondent
7		REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		SUCCE COLINTY'S DESDONSE TO THE ATTODNEY CENEDAL'S

1		PROOF OF SERVICE		
3	1.	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this cause. I am employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The following facts are within my first-hand and personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would testify thereto.		
4	2.	My business address is 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600, Sacramento, CA 95814.		
5	3.	On October 19, 2020, I served the foregoing document entitled		
6 7	REPUBLICAN PARTY OF ORANGE COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNE GENERAL'S INVESTIGATIVE INTERROGATORIES			
8 9		on each person named below by attaching a true copy addressed as shown in Item 4 and by transmitting, by email, and causing to be hand delivered, to the offices of the addressee following ordinary business practices by 5:00pm.		
10	4.	Name and address of each person served:		
11		California Department of Justice James Stanley		
12		Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, 15 th Floor		
13		Sacramento, CA 95814 James.stanley@doj.ca.gov		
14		I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the		
15		foregoing is true and correct.		
16	Execu	ted on October 19, 2020, at Sacramento, California.		
17 18		Kmerina		
19		Kiersten Merina		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		9		